Case 1:02-cv-00648-WDQ Document 183-40 Filed 05/04/2007 Page 1 of 11

EXHIBIT KK

Page 152

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND NORTHERN DIVISION

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT

OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION : CIVIL ACTION

PLAINTIFF

: NO WDQ-02-CV-648

AND

KATHY C. KOCH

INTERVENOR/PLAINTIFF:

LA WEIGHT LOSS

CENTERS, INC. DEFENDANT

OCTOBER 7, 2005

CONTINUED 30(B)(6) ORAL DEPOSITION OF L.A. WEIGHT LOSS CENTERS, INC., TAKEN THROUGH ITS REPRESENTATIVE, CHRISTINE MOFFITT, WAS HELD AT THE OFFICES OF EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, THE BOURSE BUILDING, 111 SOUTH INDEPENDENCE MALL EAST, 21 SOUTH 5TH STREET, FOURTH FLOOR, BEGINNING AT 10:15 A.M., ON THE ABOVE DATE, BEFORE NANCY D. RONAYNE, A PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA.

ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES FOUR PENN CENTER 1600 JOHN F.KENNEDY BOULEVARD, 12TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103 (215) 988-9191

| | Page 165 | | Page 167 |
|----|---|---|---|
| 1 | THAT. I'M NOT EVEN SURE THAT I | 1 | QUESTION, WHAT PAYROLL DOCUMENTS |
| .2 | UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION AS A | 2 | THE WITNESS HAS REVIEWED IN |
| 3 | SUBSET OF THE LARGER QUESTION AND | 3 | PREPARATION FOR A DEPOSITION ABOUT |
| 4 | AGAIN, I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THERE | 4 | PAYROLL DOCUMENTS. THE EEOC |
| 5 | BEING A WORK-PRODUCT OR PRIVILEGE | 5 | BELIEVES THE OBJECTION IS WITHOUT |
| 6 | VIOLATION. SO IF YOU COULD | 6 | MERIT BUT WE WILL CONTINUE THE |
| 7 | PERHAPS REPHRASE THE QUESTION TO | 7 | DEPOSITION. |
| 8 | BE MORE SPECIFIC THEN WE CAN | 8 | BY MR. ANDERSON: |
| 9 | OBJECT ON A QUESTION BY QUESTION | 9 | O. WHAT ARE THE CATEGORIES OF |
| 10 | BASIS AS NECESSARY. | 10 | DOCUMENTS THAT YOU REVIEWED IN |
| 11 | MR. ANDERSON: OKAY. | | PREPARATION FOR THIS DEPOSITION? |
| 12 | BY MR. ANDERSON: | 12 | A. PAYROLL REPORTS, PAYROLL |
| 13 | Q. I'M NOT ASKING YOU TO TELL | | SYSTEMS INFORMATION, THAT'S IT. |
| 14 | ME, TO DISCLOSE TO ME ANYTHING THAT WAS | 14 | Q. PAYROLL REPORTS, IS THERE |
| 15 | CREATED BY YOUR LAWYER BUT IF THERE ARE | 1 | ANYTHING MORE SPECIFIC ABOUT PAYROLL |
| | DOCUMENTS THAT ARE LA WEIGHT LOSS'S | | REPORTS THAT YOU CAN PROVIDE? |
| | DOCUMENTS THAT YOU REVIEWED IN | 17 | A. PAYROLL REPORTS THAT ARE RUN |
| 18 | PREPARATION FOR THE DEPOSITION I WOULD | | ROUTINELY IN OUR DEPARTMENT. |
| 19 | LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THEY ARE? | 19 | O. HAVE YOU PRODUCED THOSE |
| 20 | MS. KARETNICK: MR. | 1 | PAYROLL REPORTS THAT ARE RUN ROUTINELY? |
| 21 | ANDERSON, EVEN THE DOCUMENTS | 21 | A. YES. |
| 22 | CHOSEN OR SELECTED OUT FOR REVIEW | 22 | Q. DID YOU SPEAK TO ANYONE |
| 23 | IN PREPARATION OF THIS DEPOSITION | 23 | BESIDES YOUR LAWYER, THE COMPANY'S |
| 24 | I BELIEVE WOULD FALL INTO THE | l . | LAWYER, IN PREPARATION FOR THIS |
| | Page 166 | *************************************** | Page 168 |
| 1 | WORK-PRODUCT. TO THE EXTENT THAT | 1 | DEPOSITION? |
| 2 | YOU HAVE SPECIFICALLY | 2 | A. NO. |
| 3 | MR. ANDERSON: LA WEIGHT | 3 | Q. YOU SPOKE TO NO ONE? |
| 4 | LOSS PAYROLL DOCUMENTS ARE | 4 | MS. KARETNICK: LET'S GO OFF |
| 5 | WORK-PRODUCT, IS WHAT YOU'RE | 5 | THE RECORD FOR A MOMENT. |
| 6 | SAYING? | 6 | (A DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD |
| 7 | MS. KARETNICK: WELL TO THE | 7 | OCCURRED.) |
| 8 | EXTENT THAT THEY WERE CULLED FROM | 8 | MS. KARETNICK: I BELIEVE |
| 9 | A LARGER SET OF DOCUMENTS IN | 9 | MS. MOFFITT IF YOU COULD ASK |
| 10 | PREPARATION SPECIFICALLY FOR THIS | 10 | THE QUESTION AGAIN MS. MOFFITT |
| 11 | DEPOSITION, THEY ARGUABLY ARE | 11 | WOULD LIKE TO CLARIFY HER ANSWER. |
| 12 | WORK-PRODUCT AND I WOULD CAUTION | 12 | BY MR. ANDERSON: |
| 13 | THE WITNESS NOT TO ANSWER. TO THE | 13 | Q. DID YOU SPEAK TO ANYONE FOR |
| 14 | EXTENT THAT YOU HAVE DOCUMENTS YOU | 14 | THE PREPARATION FOR THIS DEPOSITION |
| 15 | WOULD LIKE TO SHOW THE WITNESS AND | 15 | BESIDES YOUR LAWYER? |
| 16 | ASK THE WITNESS IF SHE'S SEEN | 16 | A. I SPOKE WITH THE PAYROLL |
| 17 | DOCUMENTS BEFORE, OR IF SHE | 17 | DEPARTMENT, KAREN SIEGEL AND JOHN JANTHOR |
| 18 | REVIEWED THIS DOCUMENT OVER THE | 18 | AND NICOLE FRYER. |
| 19 | PAST FEW DAYS, I WOULD ALLOW THAT | 19 | Q. WHO IN THE PAYROLL |
| 20 | QUESTION TO BE ASKED AND I WOULD | 20 | DEPARTMENT DID YOU SPEAK TO? |
| 21 | ALLOW MS. MOFFITT TO ANSWER. | 21 | A. THE OTHER EMPLOYEES THAT |
| 22 | MR. ANDERSON: LA WEIGHT | 22 | |
| 23 | LOSS HAS THROUGH THEIR ATTORNEY | 23 | Q. IN 1997 ZURICH PAYROLL WAS |
| 24 | HAS STATED AN OBJECTION TO THE | 24 | THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT |

| | Page 169 | | Page 171 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | LOSS; IS THAT RIGHT? | 1 | THAT RIGHT? |
| 2 | A. YES. | 2 | A. YES. IN '99 AND 2000. |
| 3 | Q. AND IT WAS TESTIFIED TO | 3 | MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO |
| 4 | BEFORE BY MR. JANTHOR THAT THE ONLY | 4 | FORM. BELATED OBJECTION TO FORM. |
| 5 | MACHINE READABLE FILES THAT CONTAINED | 5 | BY MR. ANDERSON: |
| 6 | PERSONNEL OR HIRING OR PERFORMANCE OR | 6 | Q. AT SOME POINT IN 1999 WAS |
| 7 | RECRUITMENT INFORMATION OR PAYROLL | 7 | THERE AN UPGRADE TO THE ZURICH PAYROLL? |
| l ' | | 8 | A. YES. |
| 8 | INFORMATION THAT EXISTED IN 1997 WERE | 9 | Q. DO YOU KNOW THE DATE? |
| 9 10 | MAINTAINED BY ZURICH; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. | 10 | · · |
| 1 | | ŧ . | A. FIRST QUARTER OF '99. |
| 11 | MS. KARETNICK: I'M GOING TO | 11 | Q. YOU DON'T KNOW THE EXACT |
| 12 | OBJECT TO YOUR QUESTION TO THE | l | DATE? |
| 13 | EXTENT THAT IT SUMMARIZES MR. | 13 | A. NO. |
| 14 | JANTHOR'S TESTIMONY AND TO THE | 14 | Q. DOES ANYONE DO YOU KNOW |
| 15 | EXTENT THAT WITHOUT LOOKING | 15 | , |
| 16 | DIRECTLY AT HIS TESTIMONY YOU ARE | 16 | A. NO. |
| 17 | SIMPLY MAKING A RECOMMENDATION OF | 17 | Q. IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING |
| 18 | TO WHAT HE SAID. | 18 | |
| 19 | MR. ANDERSON: THAT'S RIGHT. | 1 | FIRST QUARTER OF 1999 RENDERED DATA ABOUT |
| 20 | I'M MAKING A REPRESENTATION, MR. | 20 | INACTIVE EMPLOYEES INACCESSIBLE? |
| 21 | JANTHOR'S TESTIMONY WILL SPEAK FOR | 21 | MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO |
| 22 | ITSELF. | 22 | FROM. GO AHEAD AND ANSWER. |
| 23 | MS. KARETNICK: OTHERWISE, | 23 | THE WITNESS: NO. |
| 24 | MS. MOFFITT, YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND | 24 | BY MR. ANDERSON: |
| | Page 170 | | Page 172 |
| | | ĺ | |
| 1 | ANSWER MR. CORBETT'S QUESTION. | 1 | Q. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER IN |
| 1 2 | ANSWER MR. CORBETT'S QUESTION. BY MR. ANDERSON: | į . | Q. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER IN 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE |
| 1 | • | į . | ` |
| 2 | BY MR. ANDERSON: | 2 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE |
| 2 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, | 2 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA |
| 2 3 4 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL | 2 3 4 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY |
| 2 3 4 5 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA | 2 3 4 5 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. | 2 3 4 5 6 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. Q. AND THE ZURICH PAYROLL DATA | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. MS. KARETNICK: AGAIN, WE |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. Q. AND THE ZURICH PAYROLL DATA WAS HOUSED ON A COMPUTER THAT WAS EITHER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. MS. KARETNICK: AGAIN, WE NEED TO GO OFF THE RECORD FOR JUST |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. Q. AND THE ZURICH PAYROLL DATA WAS HOUSED ON A COMPUTER THAT WAS EITHER SOLD OR LEASED TO LA WEIGHT LOSS? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. MS. KARETNICK: AGAIN, WE NEED TO GO OFF THE RECORD FOR JUST A MINUTE. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. Q. AND THE ZURICH PAYROLL DATA WAS HOUSED ON A COMPUTER THAT WAS EITHER SOLD OR LEASED TO LA WEIGHT LOSS? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. MS. KARETNICK: AGAIN, WE NEED TO GO OFF THE RECORD FOR JUST A MINUTE. (A DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. Q. AND THE ZURICH PAYROLL DATA WAS HOUSED ON A COMPUTER THAT WAS EITHER SOLD OR LEASED TO LA WEIGHT LOSS? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND ANSWER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. MS. KARETNICK: AGAIN, WE NEED TO GO OFF THE RECORD FOR JUST A MINUTE. (A DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD OCCURRED.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. Q. AND THE ZURICH PAYROLL DATA WAS HOUSED ON A COMPUTER THAT WAS EITHER SOLD OR LEASED TO LA WEIGHT LOSS? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THAT QUESTION. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. MS. KARETNICK: AGAIN, WE NEED TO GO OFF THE RECORD FOR JUST A MINUTE. (A DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD OCCURRED.) MR. ANDERSON: OFF THE |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. Q. AND THE ZURICH PAYROLL DATA WAS HOUSED ON A COMPUTER THAT WAS EITHER SOLD OR LEASED TO LA WEIGHT LOSS? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THAT QUESTION. THE WITNESS: FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND IT WAS NOT A COMPUTER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. MS. KARETNICK: AGAIN, WE NEED TO GO OFF THE RECORD FOR JUST A MINUTE. (A DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD OCCURRED.) MR. ANDERSON: OFF THE RECORD THE EEOC AND LA WEIGHT LOSS'S ATTORNEY ALIZA KARETNICK |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. Q. AND THE ZURICH PAYROLL DATA WAS HOUSED ON A COMPUTER THAT WAS EITHER SOLD OR LEASED TO LA WEIGHT LOSS? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THAT QUESTION. THE WITNESS: FROM WHAT I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. MS. KARETNICK: AGAIN, WE NEED TO GO OFF THE RECORD FOR JUST A MINUTE. (A DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD OCCURRED.) MR. ANDERSON: OFF THE RECORD THE EEOC AND LA WEIGHT |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. Q. AND THE ZURICH PAYROLL DATA WAS HOUSED ON A COMPUTER THAT WAS EITHER SOLD OR LEASED TO LA WEIGHT LOSS? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THAT QUESTION. THE WITNESS: FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND IT WAS NOT A COMPUTER SOLD OR LEASED IT WAS A COMPUTER | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. MS. KARETNICK: AGAIN, WE NEED TO GO OFF THE RECORD FOR JUST A MINUTE. (A DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD OCCURRED.) MR. ANDERSON: OFF THE RECORD THE EEOC AND LA WEIGHT LOSS'S ATTORNEY ALIZA KARETNICK DISCUSSED A PATTERN THAT HAS |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. Q. AND THE ZURICH PAYROLL DATA WAS HOUSED ON A COMPUTER THAT WAS EITHER SOLD OR LEASED TO LA WEIGHT LOSS? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THAT QUESTION. THE WITNESS: FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND IT WAS NOT A COMPUTER SOLD OR LEASED IT WAS A COMPUTER OWNED BY LA WEIGHT LOSS. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. MS. KARETNICK: AGAIN, WE NEED TO GO OFF THE RECORD FOR JUST A MINUTE. (A DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD OCCURRED.) MR. ANDERSON: OFF THE RECORD THE EEOC AND LA WEIGHT LOSS'S ATTORNEY ALIZA KARETNICK DISCUSSED A PATTERN THAT HAS DEVELOPED DURING THIS DEPOSITION |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING, THAT IN 1997 THE ZURICH PAYROLL INFORMATION WAS THE ONLY PAYROLL DATA THAT EXISTED? A. YES. Q. AND ZURICH PAYROLL WAS THE PAYROLL ADMINISTRATOR FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS FROM '97 THROUGH 2000; IS THAT RIGHT? A. YES. Q. AND THE ZURICH PAYROLL DATA WAS HOUSED ON A COMPUTER THAT WAS EITHER SOLD OR LEASED TO LA WEIGHT LOSS? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU CAN GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THAT QUESTION. THE WITNESS: FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND IT WAS NOT A COMPUTER SOLD OR LEASED IT WAS A COMPUTER OWNED BY LA WEIGHT LOSS. BY MR. ANDERSON: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | 1999 WHEN ZURICH PAYROLL UPGRADED THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT ADMINISTERED FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS, THAT UPGRADE RENDERED ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. YOU DON'T KNOW? A. NO, IT DID NOT. Q. IT DID NOT RENDER ANY DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. MS. KARETNICK: AGAIN, WE NEED TO GO OFF THE RECORD FOR JUST A MINUTE. (A DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD OCCURRED.) MR. ANDERSON: OFF THE RECORD THE EEOC AND LA WEIGHT LOSS'S ATTORNEY ALIZA KARETNICK DISCUSSED A PATTERN THAT HAS DEVELOPED DURING THIS DEPOSITION AND WHICH MS. KARETNICK HAS TAKEN |

| 1 WITNESS. IT IS EEOC'S IMPRESSION 2 THAT AT LEAST PART OF THOSE 3 DISCUSSIONS ARE NON-PRIVILEGED, 4 COUCHING OR AT LEAST APPEAR TO BE 5 COUCHING. THE EEOC AND LA WEIGHT 6 LOSS HAVE AGREED THAT IN 7 CONTINUING THIS DEPOSITION ON THIS 8 DAY, ANY SPEAKING TO THE WITNESS 9 BY MS. KARETNICK THAT IS NOT 10 PRIVILEGED WILL BE MADE ON THE 11 RECORD. 12 MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU 13 THROUGH? 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 2 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 5 GO AHEAD AND CONTINUE WITH THE 5 SYSTEM. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 |
|--|--|
| 2 THAT AT LEAST PART OF THOSE 3 DISCUSSIONS ARE NON-PRIVILEGED, 4 COUCHING OR AT LEAST APPEAR TO BE 5 COUCHING. THE EEOC AND LA WEIGHT 6 LOSS HAVE AGREED THAT IN 7 CONTINUING THIS DEPOSITION ON THIS 8 DAY, ANY SPEAKING TO THE WITNESS 9 BY MS. KARETNICK THAT IS NOT 10 PRIVILEGED WILL BE MADE ON THE 11 RECORD. 12 MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU 13 THROUGH? 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 26 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 27 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 28 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 4 CONTAIN HE OLD SYSTEM PRIOR TO 1THE UPGRADE WAS THERE DATA ON THAT SYSTI 4 THAT WAS UPGRADED? 4 THAT WAS UPGRADE WAS THERE DATA ON THAT SYSTI 4 THAT WAS UPGRADED? 5 A. YES. 6 Q. PAYROLL DATA? 7 A. YES. 9 DAYROLL DATA? 1 THAT WE AS UPGRADED? 1 THAT WAS UPGRADE WAS THERE DATA ON THAT SYSTI 4 THAT WAS UPGRADE WAS THERE DATA ON THAT SYSTI 4 THAT WAS UPGRADE WAS THERE DATA ON THAT SYSTI 4 THAT WAS UPGRADE WAS THERE DATA ON THAT SYSTI 4 THAT WAS UPGRADED? 5 A. YES. 6 Q. PAYROLL DATA? 6 Q. DID THAT PAYROLL DATA 7 A. YES. 9 DAYROLL DATA 9 CONTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT ACTIVE AND 10 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 11 A. YES. 12 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 13 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 15 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 16 A. AFTER THE - IN THE NEW 17 SYSTEM YOU'VE ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 18 CONTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 A. NO. 22 VES. 23 A. NO. 24 CONTAIN INFORMATION AND WAS ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 25 A. YES. 6 Q. DID THAT CONVERSION TO NATIVE EMPLOYEES. 26 CONTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPL | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 |
| JISCUSSIONS ARE NON-PRIVILEGED, COUCHING OR AT LEAST APPEAR TO BE COUCHING. THE EEOC AND LA WEIGHT LOSS HAVE AGREED THAT IN CONTINUING THIS DEPOSITION ON THIS DAY, ANY SPEAKING TO THE WITNESS BY MS. KARETNICK THAT IS NOT PRIVILEGED WILL BE MADE ON THE RECORD. MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU THROUGH? MR. ANDERSON: YES. MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN BESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF Page 174 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO A YES. Q. PAYROLL DATA? A. YES. Q. DID THAT PAYROLL DATA THAT WAS UPGRADED? THAT WAS UPGRADE WAS THERE DATA ON THAT SYSTI THAT WAS UPGRADED? A. YES. Q. DID THAT PAYROLL DATA A. YES. Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST THAT CONVENSATION AND WOULD LIKE TO NOT AN WHETHER THE THAT WAS UPGRADED? A. YES. Q. DID THAT PAYROLL DATA A. YES. Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST TA A. YES. A. AFOUNT ON THE OCNTAIN DATA ABOUT AND THAT DATA A. YES. Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD AND THAT LA A. YES. Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD AND THAT LA A. YES. Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD AND THAT LA A. YES. Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST A. YES. Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD AND THAT LA A. YES. Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD AND THAT LA A. YES. Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST A. YES. A. IT CONTAIN INFORMATION AND THAT LA B. Q. YES. A. IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT A. ATHE UPGRADE ON THAT SYSTI | 3 4 5 6 7 8 |
| 4 COUCHING OR AT LEAST APPEAR TO BE 5 COUCHING. THE EEOC AND LA WEIGHT 6 LOSS HAVE AGREED THAT IN 7 CONTINUING THIS DEPOSITION ON THIS 8 DAY, ANY SPEAKING TO THE WITNESS 9 BY MS. KARETNICK THAT IS NOT 10 PRIVILEGED WILL BE MADE ON THE 11 RECORD. 12 MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU 12 MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU 13 THROUGH? 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 26 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 27 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 28 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DONT WE 4 THAT WAS UPGRADED? 5 A. YES. 6 Q. DID THAT PAYROLL DATA 9 CONTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT ACTIVE AND 10 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 11 A. YES. 12 Q. DID THAT PAYROLL DATA 12 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 13 QUARTER 1999, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE 14 ABOUT BATHA ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 15 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 16 A. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 17 A. YES. 18 Q. DID THAT PAYROLL DATA 18 CONTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT ACTIVE AND 18 A. YES. 19 Q. DID THAT PAYROLL DATA 2 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 2 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 2 Q. YES. 2 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 2 Q. YES. 2 Q. DID IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 2 NATIVE EMPLOYEES 2 A. NO. 2 YES. 2 Q. DID IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 2 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 2 A. NO. 2 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 2 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 2 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 4 THAT WAS UPGRADE IN FIRST 4 A. YES. 4 VES. 4 Q. DID THAT PAYROLL DATA 4 YES. 4 Q. DID THAT PAYROLL DATA 5 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 5 Q. A. ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 4 A. ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 4 A. OCTIVE EMPLOYE | 4 5 6 7 8 |
| 5 COUCHING. THE EEOC AND LA WEIGHT 6 LOSS HAVE AGREED THAT IN 7 CONTINUING THIS DEPOSITION ON THIS 8 DAY, ANY SPEAKING TO THE WITNESS 9 BY MS. KARETNICK THAT IS NOT 10 PRIVILEGED WILL BE MADE ON THE 11 RECORD. 12 MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU 13 THROUGH? 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 26 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 27 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 28 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 39 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 4 COUNTINUING THIS UBSTANCE OF 10 PAYROLL DATA? 1 A. YES. 20 CONTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT ACTIVE AND 10 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 11 A. YES. 12 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 13 QUARTER 1999, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 15 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 16 A. AFTER THE - IN THE NEW 17 SYSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 10 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 11 A. YES. 12 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 13 QUARTER 1999, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 15 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 16 A. AFTER THE - IN THE NEW 17 SYSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 Q. ATTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 10 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 23 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS 27 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 28 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 29 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | 5 6 7 8 |
| 6 LOSS HAVE AGREED THAT IN 7 CONTINUING THIS DEPOSITION ON THIS 8 DAY, ANY SPEAKING TO THE WITNESS 9 BY MS. KARETNICK THAT IS NOT 10 PRIVILEGED WILL BE MADE ON THE 11 RECORD. 12 MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU 13 THROUGH? 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 23 A. NO. 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 2 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 4 GO DID THAT PAYROLL DATA? 7 A. YES. 9 Q. DID THAT PAYROLL DATA 10 NACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 11 A. YES. 12 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 13 QUARTER 1999, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATA 15 A. YES. 16 A. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 16 A. AFTER THE -IN THE NEW 17 SYSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 22 NACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 23 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 THAT RIGHT? 27 THAT RIGHT? 28 THAT RIGHT? 29 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS 21 THAT RIGHT? 21 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 22 THAT RIGHT? 23 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE | 6 7 8 |
| 7 CONTINUING THIS DEPOSITION ON THIS 8 DAY, ANY SPEAKING TO THE WITNESS 9 BY MS. KARETNICK THAT IS NOT 10 PRIVILEGED WILL BE MADE ON THE 11 RECORD. 12 MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU 13 THROUGH? 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 26 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 27 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 28 OD ID THAT PAYROLL DATA 29 CONTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT ACTIVE AND 10 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 11 A. YES. 12 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 13 QUARTER 1999, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 15 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 16 A. AFTER THE IN THE NEW 17 SYSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID THAT PAYROLL DATA 22 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 23 QUARTER 1999, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE 24 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 25 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 26 A. AFTER THE IN THE NEW 27 SYSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 28 Q. YES. 29 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 OS OTHE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 27 THAT RIGHT? 28 THAT RIGHT? 29 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS 21 THAT RIGHT? 22 THAT RIGHT? 31 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 32 THAT RIGHT? 33 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE | 7 8 |
| 8 DAY, ANY SPEAKING TO THE WITNESS 9 BY MS. KARETNICK THAT IS NOT 10 PRIVILEGED WILL BE MADE ON THE 11 RECORD. 12 MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU 13 THROUGH? 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 2 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 4 CONTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT ACTIVE AND 9 CONTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT ACTIVE AND 10 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 11 A. YES. 12 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 15 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 16 A. AFTER THE IN THE NEW 17 SYSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 20 LODID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 26 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 27 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 38 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | 8 |
| 9 BY MS. KARETNICK THAT IS NOT 10 PRIVILEGED WILL BE MADE ON THE 11 RECORD. 12 MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU 13 THROUGH? 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 26 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 9 CONTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT ACTIVE AND 10 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 11 A. YES. 12 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 12 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 13 QUARTER 1999, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 15 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 16 A. AFTER THE IN THE NEW 17 SYSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 26 THAT RIGHT? 27 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 28 CONTAIN DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 29 CONTAIN INFORMATION ABOUT ACTIVE AND 20 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 21 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 THAT RIGHT? 27 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 28 CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| 10 PRIVILEGED WILL BE MADE ON THE 11 RECORD. 12 MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU 13 THROUGH? 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 2 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 10 A. YES. 11 A. YES. 12 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 13 QUARTER 1999, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA ABOUT SYSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 16 A. AFTER THE IN THE NEW 17 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 18 Q. YES. 19 Q. YES. 20 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 21 VEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 27 THAT RIGHT? 28 EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS 28 THAT RIGHT? 3 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| 11 RECORD. 12 MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU 13 THROUGH? 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 2 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 11 A. YES. 12 Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 13 QUARTER 1999, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 15 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 16 A. AFTER THE IN THE NEW 17 SYSTEM YOURE ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 THAT RIGHT? 27 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 28 THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 29 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 20 AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 21 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 22 A. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 3 A. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 3 QUARTER 1999, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE 4 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 4 SOUT BATABASE CONTAINED DATA 4 EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| 12 MS. KARETNICK: ARE YOU 13 THROUGH? 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 26 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 4 SURTICR THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 13 QUARTER 1999, DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED IN FIRST 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 15 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 16 A. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 15 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 16 A. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 16 A. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 16 A. AFTER THE UPGRADE IN FIRST 17 ABOUT BOYOUR ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 17 SYSTEM YOURE ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 18 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 10 A. ACTIVE EMPLOYEES 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 23 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| 13 THROUGH? 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 2 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 15 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 16 A. AFTER THE IN THE NEW 17 SYSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 26 THAT RIGHT? 27 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 3 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| 14 MR. ANDERSON: YES. 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 26 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 14 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 15 ABOUT BOTH ACTIVE AND INACTIVE EMPLOYEES 16 A. AFTER THE IN THE NEW 17 SYSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 4 ZURICH PAYROLL DATABASE CONTAINED DATA 26 A. AFTER THE IN THE NEW 27 SYSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 28 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 29 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 25 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS 20 THAT RIGHT? 3 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| 15 MS. KARETNICK: ACTUALLY, I 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 2 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | l . |
| 16 BELIEVE WHAT WE AGREED TO AS TO 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 26 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 27 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 38 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 49 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 40 A. AFTER THE IN THE NEW 59 YSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 16 A. AFTER THE IN THE NEW 59 YSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 17 SYSTEM YOU'RE ASKING? 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 18 Q. YES. 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 19 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 THAT RIGHT? 27 THAT RIGHT? 28 THAT RIGHT? 29 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS 29 THAT RIGHT? 30 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 40 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | l . |
| 17 THIS INSTANCE TO THE EXTENT WE CAN 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 26 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 37 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 48 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 19 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 THAT RIGHT? 27 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 28 THAT RIGHT? 29 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 THAT RIGHT? 22 THAT RIGHT? 3 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| 18 RESOLVE THE ISSUE WITHOUT AN 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 26 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 27 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 38 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 49 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 THAT RIGHT? 27 THAT RIGHT? 28 THAT RIGHT? 29 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 THAT RIGHT? 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 THAT RIGHT? 27 THAT RIGHT? 28 THAT RIGHT? 29 A. IT CONTAINED DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 40 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | 1 |
| 19 OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE 20 WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA 21 WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 26 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 27 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 28 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 39 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 40 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 THAT RIGHT? 27 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 28 THAT RIGHT? 29 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 THAT DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 25 THAT RIGHT? 26 THAT RIGHT? 27 THAT RIGHT? 28 THAT RIGHT? 29 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. 21 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT 20 ACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 21 DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 22 THAT RIGHT? 31 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 41 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | 1 |
| WITNESS WE WILL. AND ALSO THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF Page 174 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE ACTIVE EMPLOYEES. Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT NACTIVE EMPLOYEES? A. NO. Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS THAT RIGHT? A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| WEIGHT LOSS'S POSITION IF IT DOES HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE Page 174 Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT PAGE 18 22 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 23 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE Page 17 EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS THAT RIGHT? 3 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| 22 HAVE AN OFF-THE-RECORD DISCUSSION 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 25 Page 174 1 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 2 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 2 INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? 2 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 2 THAT RIGHT? 2 THAT RIGHT? 3 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| 23 WITH THE WITNESS IF EEOC IS 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE Page 174 1 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 2 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 2 A. NO. 24 Q. SO THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 2 EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS 2 THAT RIGHT? 3 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| 24 CONCERNED WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF Page 174 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE Page 174 EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS THAT RIGHT? A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| Page 174 1 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 2 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE Page 174 1 EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS 2 THAT RIGHT? 3 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| 1 THAT CONVERSATION AND WOULD LIKE 2 TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF 3 THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 1 EMPLOYEES WAS LOST IN THE UPGRADE; IS 2 THAT RIGHT? 3 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | |
| TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE SUBSTANCE OF THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE THAT RIGHT? A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | , |
| THAT CONVERSATION THAT EEOC MAY DO 3 A. THE DATA ABOUT INACTIVE 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | l |
| 4 SO. THAT BEING SAID, WHY DON'T WE 4 EMPLOYEES WAS CONTAINED ON THE OLD | 1 |
| 1 | |
| | Į. |
| 6 EXAMINATION. MR. ANDERSON, IF 6 Q. AND WAS IT CARRIED IT WAS | l |
| 7 YOU'D LIKE TO PICK UP WHERE YOU 7 NOT CARRIED OVER TO THE NEW SYSTEM? | |
| 8 LEFT OFF WE CAN TRY TO CLARIFY 8 A. NO. | |
| 9 WHAT I THINK WAS AN ISSUE IN THE 9 Q. WHO MADE THE UPGRADE? | 1 |
| 10 LAST QUESTION OR TWO THAT YOU 10 A. ZURICH PAYROLL? | l . |
| 11 ASKED. 11 Q. DO YOU KNOW WHY ZURICH | l |
| 12 MR. ANDERSON: THE EEOC 12 PAYROLL DID NOT CARRY THE INACTIVE | |
| 13 OBJECTS AND HAS A STANDING 13 EMPLOYEES FROM THE OLD SYSTEM TO THE NE | 4 |
| 14 OBJECTION TO ANY COUCHING OF THE 14 SYSTEM? | |
| | 1 |
| | 15 |
| | 15 16 |
| | 16 |
| 19 QUARTER, YOU SAID THAT THERE WAS AN 19 SUPERVISOR ASKED? | 16 17 |
| | 16 17 18 |
| , | 16 17 18 19 |
| | 16 17 18 19 20 |
| 23 Q. WHEN THAT UPGRADE OCCURRED 23 Q. IN 1999, FIRST QUARTER. WHO | 16 17 18 19 20 21 |
| 24 WAS THERE DATA ON THAT SYSTEM? 24 WAS THE HEAD OF THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT | 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 |

| | Page 177 | | Page 179 |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | THE FIRST QUARTER 1999? | 1 | VACATION I MEAN A VERIFICATION OF |
| 2 | A. ACTUALLY THE CONTROLLER OF | | EMPLOYMENT I WOULD HAVE USED IT, A |
| 3 | THE COMPANY WAS IN CHARGE OF THE PAYROLL | 3 | TERMINATED EMPLOYEE. |
| 4 | DEPARTMENT AT THAT TIME. | 4 | Q. DO YOU REMEMBER EVER DOING |
| 5 | Q. WHO WAS THAT? | 5 | THAT? |
| 6 | A. ЛМ HORAN. | 6 | A. YES. |
| 7 | Q. CAN YOU SPELL THAT? | 7 | O. WHEN YOU DID THAT DO YOU |
| 8 | A. H-O-R-A-N. | 8 | REMEMBER SEEING INACTIVE EMPLOYEES IN THE |
| 9 | Q. DO YOU KNOW WHETHER MR. | 9 | SYSTEM? |
| 10 | HORAN ASKED ZURICH PAYROLL WHY INACTIVE | 10 | A. YES. |
| 11 | EMPLOYEES WERE NOT CARRIED TO THE NEW | 11 | Q. AFTER THE UPGRADE TO THE |
| 12 | SYSTEM? | 12 | ZURICH PAYROLL SYSTEM? |
| 13 | A. NO. | 13 | A. NO. IN IN THE OLD SYSTEM |
| 14 | Q. DO YOU KNOW OF ANYONE WHO | 14 | WHERE THE TERMINATED EMPLOYEES WERE KEPT. |
| 15 | WOULD KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION? | 15 | Q. DO YOU REMEMBER SEEING |
| 16 | A. NO. | 16 | INACTIVE EMPLOYEES IN THE SYSTEM AFTER |
| 17 | Q. BESIDES MR. HORAN OF COURSE. | 17 | THE UPGRADE? |
| 18 | DID MR. HORAN OR ANYONE ELSE | 18 | A. NO. |
| 19 | AT LA WEIGHT LOSS TELL YOU ANYTHING ABOUT | 19 | Q. DID YOU EVER LOOK FOR ANY |
| 20 | THE UPGRADE TO THE ZURICH PAYROLL SYSTEM | 20 | INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? |
| 21 | IN THE FIRST QUARTER OF 1999, ANYTHING AT | 21 | A. NO. |
| 22 | ALL? | 22 | Q. SO WHAT YOU DESCRIBED ABOUT |
| 23 | A. ANYTHING? | | VERIFICATION OF EMPLOYMENT YOU DIDN'T DO |
| 24 | Q. DID ANYBODY AT LA WEIGHT | 24 | THAT AT ALL IN 1999 AFTER THE UPGRADE; IS |
| | Page 178 | | Page 180 |
| 1 | LOSS HAVE ANY CONVERSATIONS WITH YOU IN | 1 | THAT RIGHT? |
| 2 | THE FIRST QUARTER OF 1999 ABOUT THE | 2 | A. YES, I WOULD HAVE BUT I |
| 3 | UPGRADE TO THE ZURICH PAYROLL SYSTEM? | 3 | WOULD HAVE USED THE OLD PAYROLL SYSTEM |
| 4 | A. OTHER THAN IT WAS WHEN IT | 4 | NOT THE CURRENT PAYROLL SYSTEM. |
| 5 | WAS GOING TO BE DONE. | 5 | Q. WHERE WAS THE OLD PAYROLL |
| 6 | Q. SO | 6 | SYSTEM HOUSED AFTER THE UPGRADE? |
| 7 | A. OTHER THAN THEM SAYING WE'RE | 7 | A. IT WAS ON A COMPUTER. |
| 8 | GETTING AN UPGRADE, NO. | 8 | Q. ON A DESKTOP COMPUTER? |
| 9 | Q. IN THE FIRST QUARTER OF | 9 | A. YES. |
| 10 | 1999, WHAT WAS YOUR POSITION AGAIN? | 10 | Q. DID THAT COMPUTER THAT |
| 11 | A. PAYROLL CLERK. | 11 | CONTAINED LA WEIGHT LOSS PAYROLL |
| 12 | Q. AND DID YOU HAVE DID YOU | 12 | INFORMATION THAT WAS UPGRADED, DID IT |
| 13 | USE THE ZURICH PAYROLL DATA? | 13 | CONTAINED INFORMATION ABOUT EMPLOYEES |
| 14 | A. YES. | 14 | SEX? |
| 15 | | 1 | A. YES. |
| 1 | Q. HOW DID YOU USE IT? | 15 | |
| 16 | A. TO PROCESS THE EMPLOYEES | 16 | Q. JOB TITLE? |
| 16 17 | A. TO PROCESS THE EMPLOYEES PAYCHECKS. | 16 17 | Q. JOB TITLE? A. YES. |
| 16 17 18 | A. TO PROCESS THE EMPLOYEES PAYCHECKS. Q. DID YOU USE IT FOR ANY OTHER | 16 17 18 | Q. JOB TITLE? A. YES. Q. HIRE DATES? |
| 16 17 18 19 | A. TO PROCESS THE EMPLOYEES PAYCHECKS. Q. DID YOU USE IT FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE? | 16 17 18 19 | Q. JOB TITLE? A. YES. Q. HIRE DATES? A. YES. |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | A. TO PROCESS THE EMPLOYEES PAYCHECKS. Q. DID YOU USE IT FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE? A. NO. | 16 17 18 19 20 | Q. JOB TITLE?A. YES.Q. HIRE DATES?A. YES.Q. REHIRE DATES? |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. TO PROCESS THE EMPLOYEES PAYCHECKS. Q. DID YOU USE IT FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE? A. NO. Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO | 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. JOB TITLE?A. YES.Q. HIRE DATES?A. YES.Q. REHIRE DATES?A. I DON'T REMEMBER. |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. TO PROCESS THE EMPLOYEES PAYCHECKS. Q. DID YOU USE IT FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE? A. NO. Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO VIEW OR ACCESS DATA ABOUT INACTIVE | 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. JOB TITLE? A. YES. Q. HIRE DATES? A. YES. Q. REHIRE DATES? A. I DON'T REMEMBER. Q. DID IT CONTAIN DATA ABOUT |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. TO PROCESS THE EMPLOYEES PAYCHECKS. Q. DID YOU USE IT FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE? A. NO. Q. DID YOU HAVE ANY REASON TO | 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. JOB TITLE?A. YES.Q. HIRE DATES?A. YES.Q. REHIRE DATES?A. I DON'T REMEMBER. |

| | | Page 181 | | Page 183 |
|----------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|--|
| | Q. PROMOTIONS? | | | |
| 1 2 | Q. PROMOTIONS? A. NO. | | | DOES THE COMPUTER THAT SED THE OLD ZURICH PAYROLL DATA, DOES |
| 3 | Q. OBVIOUSLY IT C | 1 | | ILL EXIST IN LA WEIGHT LOSS'S |
| 4 | INFORMATION, RIGHT? | ONTAINEDTAT | | ESSION? |
| 5 | A. YES. | 5 | | . NO. |
| 6 | Q. PAY CHANGES? | | | DO YOU KNOW WHY IT DOESN'T? |
| 7 | A. NO, CURRENT RA | 1 | • | . NO. |
| 8 | Q. BONUSES? | 8 | 3 Q | . DO YOU KNOW WHO WOULD KNOW? |
| 9 | A. NO. | وا | • | . NO. |
| 10 | Q. COMMISSIONS? | 1 | 0 Q | . DO YOU REMEMBER EVER |
| 11 | A. ONLY IF YOU WE | ERE LOOKING IN 1: | 1 ATTE | MPTING TO ACCESS DATA IN THAT |
| 12 | THEIR CHECKVIEW INFO | RMATION. 1: | 2 DATA | ABASE AND REALIZING THAT IT NO LONGER |
| 13 | Q. SO IT WOULD HA | VE CONTAINED 1: | 3 EXIS | FED IN LA WEIGHT LOSS'S POSSESSION? |
| 14 | BONUS COMMISSION I | NFORMATION BUT IN 1- | 4 A | . IN WHAT YEAR ARE YOU |
| 15 | THE CHECKVIEW? | 1. | 5 Q | . ANY YEAR? |
| 16 | A. YES. | 1. | | . IN 2001, YES. |
| 17 | Q. PERFORMANCE I | | • | . YOU REMEMBER LOOKING FOR |
| 18 | A. NO. | i i | | A THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN IN THE ZURICH |
| 19 | Q. DISCIPLINARY A | * | | ROLL SYSTEM PRIOR TO THE UPGRADE? |
| 20 | A. NO. | 2 | | . IN THE ZURICH PAYROLL SYSTEM |
| 21 | Q. THE INFORMATION | | | И '97, THE OLD SYSTEM. |
| 22 | EMPLOYEES SEX AND H | | | . WHAT HAPPENED WHEN YOU |
| 23 | THAT YOU SAID ZURICH | 1 | | KED FOR IT? |
| 24 | CONTAIN PRIOR TO THE | UPGRADE AND AFTER 2 | 4 A | . THE PROGRAM DIDN'T EXIST. |
| | | Page 182 | | Page 184 |
| 1 | THE UPGRADE, WHAT WA | AS THE SOURCE OF THAT 1 | 1 Q. | DID THE COMPUTER ITSELF THAT |
| 2 | INFORMATION? BY SOUR | RCE I MEAN, WHAT WAS 2 | 2 THE I | DATA WAS HOUSED ON EXIST? |
| 3 | THE ORIGINAL SOURCE C | F THAT INFORMATION? 3 | | I DON'T KNOW. |
| 4 | A. EMPLOYEES FILL | OUT NEW HIRE 4 | • | WHEN YOU LOOKED FOR THAT |
| 5 | PAPERWORK THAT'S FAX | ED TO THE PAYROLL | | RMATION IN 2001 ABOUT 1997 DATA, |
| 6 | DEPARTMENT. | 6 | | RE DID YOU LOOK? |
| 7 | Q. AND THEN WHAT | | | ON THE COMPUTER ON THE |
| 8 | PAYROLL DEPARTMENT | DO WITH THAT NEW 8 | | PUTER THAT I THOUGHT IT WOULD HAVE |
| 9 | PAPERWORK? | | 9 BEEN | |
| 10 | A. INPUTS IT INTO T | 1 | • | WHY DID YOU THINK IT WOULD |
| 11 | Q. IS IT A KEY ENTR | | | E BEEN ON THAT COMPUTER? |
| 12 | A. YES. | 1 | | IT WAS A COMPUTER I WAS |
| 13 | Q. THAT WAS THE S | | | G AT THE TIME. THE COMPUTER WE |
| 14 | A. YES. | | | ADED OUR COMPUTERS, WE GET NEW |
| 15 | Q. PRIOR TO 1999? | • | | PUTERS A LOT SO FROM WHAT I REMEMBER I |
| 16 | A. YES. | 1 | | LD HAVE THOUGHT IT WOULD HAVE STILL |
| 17 | Q. IS THAT THE CUR | | | ON THE SAME COMPUTER. I DON'T KNOW TLY IF THAT'S IF IT EXACTLY WAS |
| 18 | A. YES. | I | | SAME COMPUTER THAT I WAS USING AT THE |
| 19 | Q. DOES LA WEIGHT | | | BUT IT WASN'T, IT DIDN'T EXIST NO |
| 20 | THOSE NEW HIRE PAPER' A. YES. | \$ | | E WHEN I WENT TO CHECK IN 2001. |
| 21 | Q. DO YOU HAVE TH | i i | | WAS THERE ANY TIME PRIOR TO |
| 144 | GOING BACK TO 1997? | | • | WHEN YOU LOOKED FOR DATA IN THE |
| 122 | | | | |
| 23 24 | A. YES. | i i | | TER SYSTEM AFTER THE UPGRADE? BETWEEN |

| | Dama 102 | | Page 105 |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Page 193 | | Page 195 |
| 1 | RECORD KEEPING AS RELATED TO PAYROLL | 1 | SUPERVISOR THEN? |
| 2 | INFORMATION? | 2 | A. YES. |
| 3 | A. WE WERE AWARE OF WHAT NEEDS | 3 | Q. WHO WAS THE PAYROLL |
| 4 | TO BE DONE AS FAR AS RECORD KEEPING | 4 | ADMINISTRATOR THEN FOR LA WEIGHT LOSS? |
| 5 | REGARDING EMPLOYEE FILES, WE KEEP ALL THE | 5 | A. ADP. |
| 6 | RECORDS IN THE EMPLOYEES' FILE. THERE'S | 6 | Q. DID ADP UPGRADE THE ADP |
| 7 | NO SPECIFIC CONVERSATION THAT I REMEMBER | 7 | SYSTEM IN 2002? |
| 8 | ABOUT RECORD KEEPING. | 8 | A. YES. |
| 9 | Q. YOU'RE THE PAYROLL | 9 | Q. WHEN ADP UPGRADED THE SYSTEM |
| 10 | SUPERVISOR, IS THAT YOUR TITLE? | 10 | DID THAT RENDER SOME DATA INACCESSIBLE? |
| 11 | A. YES. | 11 | A. YES. |
| 12 | Q. AS PART OF YOUR JOB DUTIES | 12 | Q. IN CONNECTION WITH ADP |
| 13 | ARE YOU RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING SURE THAT | i . | UPGRADING THE SYSTEM BY WHICH DATA BECAME |
| 14 | THE DATA IN THE PAYROLL SYSTEM IS | | INACCESSIBLE, DID YOU GIVE ANY |
| 15 | ACCURATE? | | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP? |
| 16. | A. YES. | 16 | A. TO MAKE THE DATA |
| 17 | Q. DID ANYONE AT LA WEIGHT LOSS | | INACCESSIBLE? |
| 18 | EVER SAY TO YOU TO MAKE SURE YOU TO | 18 | Q. YES. |
| 19 | MAKE SURE THAT THE PAYROLL DATA IS | 19 | A. NO. |
| 20 | ACCURATE? | 20 | Q. DID YOU GIVE ANY |
| 21 | A. THE ONLY TIME THAT QUESTION | ŧ | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO NOT MAKE THE DATA |
| 1 | WOULD COME UP IS IF AN EMPLOYEE WOULD | | INACCESSIBLE? |
| | NOTICE THAT SOMETHING WAS INACCURATE, | 23 | A. NO. |
| 24 | LIKE THEIR SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER WAS | 24 | Q. DID YOU GIVE ANY |
| | | | |
| | Page 194 | | Page 196 |
| 1 | | 1 | Page 196 INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO |
| 1 2 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL | 1 2 | _ |
| _ | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL | - | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO |
| 2 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL | 2 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. |
| 2 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. | 2 3 4 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? |
| 2 3 4 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE | 2 3 4 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME |
| 2 3 4 5 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING | 2 3 4 5 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME | 2 3 4 5 6 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT — THE TIME THAT HAD |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU'RE ASKING HER TO | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT THE TIME THAT HAD ELAPSED BETWEEN THE UPGRADE AND WHEN YOU REALIZED A. I WOULD SAY A MONTH. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU'RE ASKING HER TO SPECULATE, YOU'RE PROVIDING HER WITH A HYPOTHETICAL. MR. ANDERSON: IT'S JUST A | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT — THE TIME THAT HAD ELAPSED BETWEEN THE UPGRADE AND WHEN YOU REALIZED A. I WOULD SAY A MONTH. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU'RE ASKING HER TO SPECULATE, YOU'RE PROVIDING HER WITH A HYPOTHETICAL. MR. ANDERSON: IT'S JUST A QUESTION. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT — THE TIME THAT HAD ELAPSED BETWEEN THE UPGRADE AND WHEN YOU REALIZED A. I WOULD SAY A MONTH. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, WE'RE GOING TO GET TO WHAT |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU'RE ASKING HER TO SPECULATE, YOU'RE PROVIDING HER WITH A HYPOTHETICAL. MR. ANDERSON: IT'S JUST A QUESTION. MS. KARETNICK: GO AHEAD AND | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT - THE TIME THAT HAD ELAPSED BETWEEN THE UPGRADE AND WHEN YOU REALIZED A. I WOULD SAY A MONTH. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, WE'RE GOING TO GET TO WHAT DATA IT WAS IN JUST A LITTLE BIT, AFTER |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU'RE ASKING HER TO SPECULATE, YOU'RE PROVIDING HER WITH A HYPOTHETICAL. MR. ANDERSON: IT'S JUST A QUESTION. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT THE TIME THAT HAD ELAPSED BETWEEN THE UPGRADE AND WHEN YOU REALIZED A. I WOULD SAY A MONTH. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, WE'RE GOING TO GET TO WHAT DATA IT WAS IN JUST A LITTLE BIT, AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, DID YOU, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU'RE ASKING HER TO SPECULATE, YOU'RE PROVIDING HER WITH A HYPOTHETICAL. MR. ANDERSON: IT'S JUST A QUESTION. MS. KARETNICK: GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THE QUESTION. THE WITNESS: WHAT TYPE OF | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT - THE TIME THAT HAD ELAPSED BETWEEN THE UPGRADE AND WHEN YOU REALIZED A. I WOULD SAY A MONTH. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, WE'RE GOING TO GET TO WHAT DATA IT WAS IN JUST A LITTLE BIT, AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, DID YOU, YOU MEANING LA WEIGHT LOSS, DID LA WEIGHT |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU'RE ASKING HER TO SPECULATE, YOU'RE PROVIDING HER WITH A HYPOTHETICAL. MR. ANDERSON: IT'S JUST A QUESTION. MS. KARETNICK: GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THE QUESTION. THE WITNESS: WHAT TYPE OF DATA WOULD BECOME INACCESSIBLE, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT — THE TIME THAT HAD ELAPSED BETWEEN THE UPGRADE AND WHEN YOU REALIZED — A. I WOULD SAY A MONTH. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, WE'RE GOING TO GET TO WHAT DATA IT WAS IN JUST A LITTLE BIT, AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, DID YOU, YOU MEANING LA WEIGHT LOSS, DID LA WEIGHT LOSS CHANGE ANY POLICIES OR PROCEDURES |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU'RE ASKING HER TO SPECULATE, YOU'RE PROVIDING HER WITH A HYPOTHETICAL. MR. ANDERSON: IT'S JUST A QUESTION. MS. KARETNICK: GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THE QUESTION. THE WITNESS: WHAT TYPE OF DATA WOULD BECOME INACCESSIBLE, THERE ISN'T ANYTHING THAT | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT THE TIME THAT HAD ELAPSED BETWEEN THE UPGRADE AND WHEN YOU REALIZED A. I WOULD SAY A MONTH. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, WE'RE GOING TO GET TO WHAT DATA IT WAS IN JUST A LITTLE BIT, AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, DID YOU, YOU MEANING LA WEIGHT LOSS, DID LA WEIGHT LOSS CHANGE ANY POLICIES OR PROCEDURES WITH RESPECT TO PAYROLL DATA? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU'RE ASKING HER TO SPECULATE, YOU'RE PROVIDING HER WITH A HYPOTHETICAL. MR. ANDERSON: IT'S JUST A QUESTION. MS. KARETNICK: GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THE QUESTION. THE WITNESS: WHAT TYPE OF DATA WOULD BECOME INACCESSIBLE, THERE ISN'T ANYTHING THAT CURRENTLY WOULD BECOME | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT - THE TIME THAT HAD ELAPSED BETWEEN THE UPGRADE AND WHEN YOU REALIZED A. I WOULD SAY A MONTH. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, WE'RE GOING TO GET TO WHAT DATA IT WAS IN JUST A LITTLE BIT, AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, DID YOU, YOU MEANING LA WEIGHT LOSS, DID LA WEIGHT LOSS CHANGE ANY POLICIES OR PROCEDURES WITH RESPECT TO PAYROLL DATA? A. YES. ADP WAS TOLD NEVER TO |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU'RE ASKING HER TO SPECULATE, YOU'RE PROVIDING HER WITH A HYPOTHETICAL. MR. ANDERSON: IT'S JUST A QUESTION. MS. KARETNICK: GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THE QUESTION. THE WITNESS: WHAT TYPE OF DATA WOULD BECOME INACCESSIBLE, THERE ISN'T ANYTHING THAT CURRENTLY WOULD BECOME BY MR. ANDERSON: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT THE TIME THAT HAD ELAPSED BETWEEN THE UPGRADE AND WHEN YOU REALIZED A. I WOULD SAY A MONTH. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, WE'RE GOING TO GET TO WHAT DATA IT WAS IN JUST A LITTLE BIT, AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, DID YOU, YOU MEANING LA WEIGHT LOSS, DID LA WEIGHT LOSS CHANGE ANY POLICIES OR PROCEDURES WITH RESPECT TO PAYROLL DATA? A. YES. ADP WAS TOLD NEVER TO PURGE OR SYSTEM OF TERMINATED EMPLOYEES. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU'RE ASKING HER TO SPECULATE, YOU'RE PROVIDING HER WITH A HYPOTHETICAL. MR. ANDERSON: IT'S JUST A QUESTION. MS. KARETNICK: GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THE QUESTION. THE WITNESS: WHAT TYPE OF DATA WOULD BECOME INACCESSIBLE, THERE ISN'T ANYTHING THAT CURRENTLY WOULD BECOME BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. WELL, LET'S SAY LET'S GO | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT — THE TIME THAT HAD ELAPSED BETWEEN THE UPGRADE AND WHEN YOU REALIZED — A. I WOULD SAY A MONTH. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, WE'RE GOING TO GET TO WHAT DATA IT WAS IN JUST A LITTLE BIT, AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, DID YOU, YOU MEANING LA WEIGHT LOSS, DID LA WEIGHT LOSS CHANGE ANY POLICIES OR PROCEDURES WITH RESPECT TO PAYROLL DATA? A. YES. ADP WAS TOLD NEVER TO PURGE OR SYSTEM OF TERMINATED EMPLOYEES. Q. HOW WERE THEY TOLD THAT? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | INCORRECT ON THEIR PAYCHECK THE PAYROLL DEPARTMENT WILL BE TOLD THE SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER IS INCORRECT AND THEN WE WOULD THEN CHANGE IT. Q. WHAT ABOUT DATA BECOMING INACCESSIBLE, IF DATA WERE TO BECOME INACCESSIBLE FOR SOME REASON, WOULD THAT BE SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FIGURING OUT THE REASON? MS. KARETNICK: OBJECTION TO FORM. YOU'RE ASKING HER TO SPECULATE, YOU'RE PROVIDING HER WITH A HYPOTHETICAL. MR. ANDERSON: IT'S JUST A QUESTION. MS. KARETNICK: GO AHEAD AND ANSWER THE QUESTION. THE WITNESS: WHAT TYPE OF DATA WOULD BECOME INACCESSIBLE, THERE ISN'T ANYTHING THAT CURRENTLY WOULD BECOME BY MR. ANDERSON: | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | INSTRUCTIONS TO ADP TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE? A. NO. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN YOU REALIZED THAT? A. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE EXACT DAY BUT I DO REMEMBER THAT HAPPENING. Q. DO YOU REMEMBER ROUGHLY THE TIME FRAME THAT THE TIME THAT HAD ELAPSED BETWEEN THE UPGRADE AND WHEN YOU REALIZED A. I WOULD SAY A MONTH. Q. AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, WE'RE GOING TO GET TO WHAT DATA IT WAS IN JUST A LITTLE BIT, AFTER THE DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE, DID YOU, YOU MEANING LA WEIGHT LOSS, DID LA WEIGHT LOSS CHANGE ANY POLICIES OR PROCEDURES WITH RESPECT TO PAYROLL DATA? A. YES. ADP WAS TOLD NEVER TO PURGE OR SYSTEM OF TERMINATED EMPLOYEES. |

| | Page 197 | | Page 199 |
|-----|---|---|--|
| | | _ | |
| 1 | Q. VERBALLY? A. YES. | 1 | THAT PERSON IS? |
| 2 | A. YES. Q. ORALLY? | 2 | A. NO. MS, KARETNICK: MR. |
| 4 | Q. ORALLY? A. YES. | 3 | |
| 5 | | 4 | ANDERSON, I'M ASSUMING THAT YOU HAVE IN NOT SO MANY WORDS MADE A |
| 6 | Q. WAS THE PHONE CALL CONFIRMED IN WRITING? | 5 | REQUEST FOR A DOCUMENT REFLECTING |
| 7 | A. NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF. | 6 7 | CONTACTS WITH ADP OVER THE YEARS? |
| 8 | Q. IS THERE ANY WRITTEN RECORD | 8 | MR. ANDERSON: NOT ANY |
| 9 | OF THAT INSTRUCTION? | 9 | NO, THE DOCUMENTS REFLECTING |
| 10 | A. NO. | 10 | MS. KARETNICK: THE CONTACT |
| 11 | Q. DID LA WEIGHT LOSS ASK ADP | 11 | PERSON. |
| 12 | TO ATTEMPT THE RETRIEVAL OF THE DATA THAT | 12 | MR. ANDERSON: |
| 13 | BECAME INACCESSIBLE IN 2002? | 13 | CONVERSATIONS WITH ADP ABOUT |
| 14 | A. FROM WHAT I REMEMBER, YES. | 14 | ACCESSIBILITY OR INACCESSIBILITY |
| 15 | Q. WHEN YOU SAY FROM WHAT YOU | 15 | OF THE DATA AT ANY TIME. SAME |
| 16 | REMEMBER, IS THAT YOUR PERSONAL MEMORY? | 16 | WITH ZURICH, AND DOCUMENTS THAT |
| 17 | A. YES. | 17 | WE INDICATE WHO THE CONTACT PERSON |
| 18 | Q. SO YOU PERSONALLY ASKED THEM | 18 | IS OR AT LEAST WAS IN 2002 WHEN |
| 19 | TO RETRIEVE THE DATA OR AT LEAST ATTEMPT | 19 | THE ADP SYSTEM WAS UPGRADED. |
| 20 | TO? | 20 | MS. KARETNICK: FIRST |
| 21 | A. YES. | 21 | QUARTER OF 2002? |
| 22 | Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHO YOU | 22 | MR. ANDERSON: YES. AND WHO |
| 23 | SPOKE TO? | 23 | THE CONTACT PERSON WAS FOR ZURICH |
| 24 | A. NO, I DON'T. | 24 | IN 1999 WHEN THAT SYSTEM WAS |
| 2 1 | | 4 | |
| | Page 198 | *************************************** | Page 200 |
| 1 | Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHO YOU TOLD | 1 | UPGRADED. |
| 2 | NEVER TO MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE | 2 | MS. KARETNICK: TO THE |
| 3 | AGAIN? | 3 | EXTENT ANY OF THOSE DOCUMENTS |
| 4 | A. NO, I DON'T REMEMBER. | 4 | EXIST WE'LL PRODUCE THEM. |
| 5 | Q. DO YOU HAVE A POINT OF | 5 | ALTHOUGH, I'M NOT GOING TO MAKE A |
| 6 | CONTACT AT ADP OR AT LEAST DID YOU IN | 6 | REPRESENTATION THAT ANY SUCH |
| 7 | 2002? | 7 | DOCUMENTATION EXIST. |
| 8 | A. YES. | 8 | MR. ANDERSON: IF THE |
| 9 | Q. WHO WAS THAT PERSON? | 9 | DOCUMENTS DON'T EXIST THEN THEY |
| 10 | A. I DON'T SPECIFICALLY | 10 | DON'T EXIST. |
| | REMEMBER WHO IT WAS THEN. WE HAVE | 1 | BY MR. ANDERSON: |
| 12 | CHANGED POINT CONTACTS A LOT. | 12 | Q. SO IN 2002 IT WAS YOUR |
| 13 | Q. ARE THERE DOCUMENTS THAT | 1 | RESPONSIBILITY YOU TESTIFIED THAT TO KEEP |
| 14 | WOULD INDICATE WHO THAT PERSON IS? | 1 - | DATA ACCESSIBLE AND ADMONISH ADP TO NOT |
| 15 | A. THERE MAY BE. | I | MAKE THE DATA INACCESSIBLE AGAIN. IN |
| 16 | Q. CAN YOU LOOK FOR THOSE FOR | 16 | 1999, WHEN THE ZURICH PAYROLL SYSTEM WAS |
| 1 | US? | 1 | UPGRADED WHO WOULD HAVE HAD THAT |
| 18 | A. SURE. | 1 | RESPONSIBILITY AT LA WEIGHT LOSS? I |
| 19 | Q. IS THERE A PERSON WHO MAY | 19 | THINK YOU COULDN'T REMEMBER. |
| 1 | | 20 | A. WHO WOULD HAVE HAD THE |
| 21 | A. THERE MAY BE A PERSON AT | 21 | |
| | ADP. | 22 | Q. WHO WAS THE HEAD OF PAYROLL |
| 23 | Q. ANOTHER LA WEIGHT LOSS | 23 | |
| 24 | EMPLOYEE WHO MAY KNOW BETTER THAN YOU WHO | 24 | A. THERE REALLY WASN'T A |

| l . | Page 249 | | Page 251 |
|---|---|--|---|
| , | WAS JUST FOR ONE TIME PERIOD, WAS IT PER | 1 | A. YES. |
| 2 | PAY PERIOD OR | 2 | A. 1ES. Q. FOR 2001? |
| 3 | A. PER PAY PERIOD. | 3 | A. YES. |
| 4 | Q. WOULD YOU LOOK AT IT TO | 4 | Q. FOR 2002 COULD SOMEONE |
| 5 | DETERMINE WHAT A PERSON EARNED IN THAT | 5 | ACCESS THE DATA FOR INACTIVE EMPLOYEES? |
| 6 | YEAR | 6 | A. YES. |
| 7 | A. YES. | 7 | O. FOR 2002? |
| 8 | Q IN BONUSES? | 8 | A. YES. |
| 9 | WOULD THERE BE A FIELD FOR | 9 | Q. WHY IS THE DATA FOR INACTIVE |
| 10 | THAT OR WOULD YOU HAVE TO ADD UP ALL THE | 10 | EMPLOYEES FOR 2001 NOT ACCESSIBLE EXCEPT |
| 11 | DIFFERENT | | FOR CHECKVIEW FORMAT? |
| 12 | A. THERE WOULD BE AN OPTION TO | 12 | A. ADP PURGED INACTIVE |
| 13 | SELECT CUMULATIVE. | | EMPLOYEES. |
| 14 | Q. CUMULATIVE BONUS? | 14 | Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN THAT |
| 15 | A. YES. | | WAS? |
| 16 | Q. WOULD THERE BE A SIMILAR | 16 | A. SOME SOMETIME IN 2002, |
| 17 | OPTION FOR COMMISSION? | | FIRST QUARTER OF 2002. |
| 18 | A. YES. | 18 | Q. WAS THAT NOT AN UPGRADE, IN |
| 19 | Q. WOULD TERMINATION BE A FIELD | ı | CONNECTION WITH AN UPGRADE TO THE SYSTEM? |
| | IN THE SYSTEM, WHETHER A PERSON HAD BEEN | 20 | A. (PAUSE.) |
| | TERMINATED? | 21 | Q. I'M TRYING THE REASON I'M |
| 22 | A. YES. | 1 | ASKING THE QUESTION IS I ASKED YOU ABOUT |
| 23 | Q. IF A PERSON HAD BEEN | • | AN UPGRADE IN 2002, YOU SAID THAT THERE |
| 24 | TRANSFERRED WOULD THAT SHOW IN THE SYSTEM | 1 | WASNT? |
| | Page 250 | | Page 252 |
| | | - | • |
| | IN SOME WAY? A. NO. | 1 | A. WELL THERE WASN'T A PAYROLL |
| | A. NO. | | LIDODADE THEY WEDE OUT ADDDIC A FEATURE TO |
| 2 | O HOW ADOLE BROMOTERS | 2 | UPGRADE THEY WERE OUT ADDING A FEATURE TO |
| 3 | Q. HOW ABOUT PROMOTED? | 3 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. |
| 3 4 | A. NO. | 4 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE |
| 3 4 5 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS | 4 5 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? |
| 3 4 5 6 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT | 4 5 6 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? | 4 5 6 7 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN | 4 5 6 7 8 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN MARCH OF 2005. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE JUST NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH PAYROLL. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN MARCH OF 2005. Q. WERE THERE ANY UPGRADES | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE JUST NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH PAYROLL. Q. I GOT YOU. AND IT WAS |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN MARCH OF 2005. Q. WERE THERE ANY UPGRADES PRIOR TO 2005? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE JUST NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH PAYROLL. Q. I GOT YOU. AND IT WAS THROUGH THAT UPGRADE BROADLY DEFINED THAT |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN MARCH OF 2005. Q. WERE THERE ANY UPGRADES PRIOR TO 2005? A. NOT THAT I CAN REMEMBER. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE JUST NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH PAYROLL. Q. I GOT YOU. AND IT WAS THROUGH THAT UPGRADE BROADLY DEFINED THAT THE INACTIVE EMPLOYEES DATA BECAME |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN MARCH OF 2005. Q. WERE THERE ANY UPGRADES PRIOR TO 2005? A. NOT THAT I CAN REMEMBER. Q. THE DATA THAT WAS HOUSED IN | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE JUST NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH PAYROLL. Q. I GOT YOU. AND IT WAS THROUGH THAT UPGRADE BROADLY DEFINED THAT THE INACTIVE EMPLOYEES DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE EXCEPT BY CHECKVIEW FORMAT? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN MARCH OF 2005. Q. WERE THERE ANY UPGRADES PRIOR TO 2005? A. NOT THAT I CAN REMEMBER. Q. THE DATA THAT WAS HOUSED IN THE ADP, LA WEIGHT LOSS'S ADP SYSTEM IN | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE JUST NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH PAYROLL. Q. I GOT YOU. AND IT WAS THROUGH THAT UPGRADE BROADLY DEFINED THAT THE INACTIVE EMPLOYEES DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE EXCEPT BY CHECKVIEW FORMAT? A. YES. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN MARCH OF 2005. Q. WERE THERE ANY UPGRADES PRIOR TO 2005? A. NOT THAT I CAN REMEMBER. Q. THE DATA THAT WAS HOUSED IN THE ADP, LA WEIGHT LOSS'S ADP SYSTEM IN 2001, REFERRING TO THAT, IS THAT | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE JUST NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH PAYROLL. Q. I GOT YOU. AND IT WAS THROUGH THAT UPGRADE BROADLY DEFINED THAT THE INACTIVE EMPLOYEES DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE EXCEPT BY CHECKVIEW FORMAT? A. YES. Q. I THINK YOU STATED EARLIER |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN MARCH OF 2005. Q. WERE THERE ANY UPGRADES PRIOR TO 2005? A. NOT THAT I CAN REMEMBER. Q. THE DATA THAT WAS HOUSED IN THE ADP, LA WEIGHT LOSS'S ADP SYSTEM IN 2001, REFERRING TO THAT, IS THAT CURRENTLY ACCESSIBLE? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE JUST NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH PAYROLL. Q. I GOT YOU. AND IT WAS THROUGH THAT UPGRADE BROADLY DEFINED THAT THE INACTIVE EMPLOYEES DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE EXCEPT BY CHECKVIEW FORMAT? A. YES. Q. I THINK YOU STATED EARLIER THAT YOU ASKED ADP TO TRY TO RETRIEVE |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN MARCH OF 2005. Q. WERE THERE ANY UPGRADES PRIOR TO 2005? A. NOT THAT I CAN REMEMBER. Q. THE DATA THAT WAS HOUSED IN THE ADP, LA WEIGHT LOSS'S ADP SYSTEM IN 2001, REFERRING TO THAT, IS THAT CURRENTLY ACCESSIBLE? A. CHECKVIEW IS ACCESSIBLE FOR | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE JUST NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH PAYROLL. Q. I GOT YOU. AND IT WAS THROUGH THAT UPGRADE BROADLY DEFINED THAT THE INACTIVE EMPLOYEES DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE EXCEPT BY CHECKVIEW FORMAT? A. YES. Q. I THINK YOU STATED EARLIER THAT YOU ASKED ADP TO TRY TO RETRIEVE THAT DATA? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN MARCH OF 2005. Q. WERE THERE ANY UPGRADES PRIOR TO 2005? A. NOT THAT I CAN REMEMBER. Q. THE DATA THAT WAS HOUSED IN THE ADP, LA WEIGHT LOSS'S ADP SYSTEM IN 2001, REFERRING TO THAT, IS THAT CURRENTLY ACCESSIBLE? A. CHECKVIEW IS ACCESSIBLE FOR TERMINATED EMPLOYEES. IF THEY'RE STILL | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE JUST NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH PAYROLL. Q. I GOT YOU. AND IT WAS THROUGH THAT UPGRADE BROADLY DEFINED THAT THE INACTIVE EMPLOYEES DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE EXCEPT BY CHECKVIEW FORMAT? A. YES. Q. I THINK YOU STATED EARLIER THAT YOU ASKED ADP TO TRY TO RETRIEVE THAT DATA? A. YES. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN MARCH OF 2005. Q. WERE THERE ANY UPGRADES PRIOR TO 2005? A. NOT THAT I CAN REMEMBER. Q. THE DATA THAT WAS HOUSED IN THE ADP, LA WEIGHT LOSS'S ADP SYSTEM IN 2001, REFERRING TO THAT, IS THAT CURRENTLY ACCESSIBLE? A. CHECKVIEW IS ACCESSIBLE FOR TERMINATED EMPLOYEES. IF THEY'RE STILL ACTIVE, YES, THEY'RE STILL IN THE SYSTEM. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE JUST NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH PAYROLL. Q. I GOT YOU. AND IT WAS THROUGH THAT UPGRADE BROADLY DEFINED THAT THE INACTIVE EMPLOYEES DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE EXCEPT BY CHECKVIEW FORMAT? A. YES. Q. I THINK YOU STATED EARLIER THAT YOU ASKED ADP TO TRY TO RETRIEVE THAT DATA? A. YES. Q. THEY TOLD YOU BECAUSE |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. NO. Q. AND IN 2002 ADP UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE PAYROLL SYSTEM THAT LA WEIGHT LOSS USED; IS THAT RIGHT? A. IN Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHEN ADP, IF AT ALL, UPGRADED ITS SYSTEM, THE SYSTEM? A. THE LAST UPGRADE WAS IN MARCH OF 2005. Q. WERE THERE ANY UPGRADES PRIOR TO 2005? A. NOT THAT I CAN REMEMBER. Q. THE DATA THAT WAS HOUSED IN THE ADP, LA WEIGHT LOSS'S ADP SYSTEM IN 2001, REFERRING TO THAT, IS THAT CURRENTLY ACCESSIBLE? A. CHECKVIEW IS ACCESSIBLE FOR TERMINATED EMPLOYEES. IF THEY'RE STILL | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | THE PAYROLL SYSTEM WHICH WAS NEVER USED. Q. THEY WERE ADDING A FEATURE THAT WAS NEVER USED? A. THEY WERE ADDING AN HR SYSTEM THAT WAS NEVER USED AFTER IT WAS PUT ON THE SYSTEM, SO IT WASN'T NECESSARILY AN UPGRADE TO MY SIDE OF THE PAYROLL. BUT THEY WERE DOING, I GUESS YOU COULD SAY THEY WERE DOING AN UPGRADE JUST NOT NECESSARILY THROUGH PAYROLL. Q. I GOT YOU. AND IT WAS THROUGH THAT UPGRADE BROADLY DEFINED THAT THE INACTIVE EMPLOYEES DATA BECAME INACCESSIBLE EXCEPT BY CHECKVIEW FORMAT? A. YES. Q. I THINK YOU STATED EARLIER THAT YOU ASKED ADP TO TRY TO RETRIEVE THAT DATA? A. YES. |

| | Page 289 | | Page 291 | | |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------|---|--|--|
| 1 | Q. DOES EVERYONE'S CHECK HAVE | 1 | HAVE SIDE TABS, ONE WILL HAVE THE | | |
| 2 | QUARTERLY PAY INFORMATION ON IT? | 2 | DEPARTMENT NUMBER AND THEN IT SAYS JOB, | | |
| 3 | A. NO. | 3 | YOU CHOOSE THE JOB TITLE. | | |
| 4 | Q. HOW WOULD CHECKVIEW DEPICT | 4 | MR. ANDERSON: DID YOU BRING | | |
| 5 | QUARTERLY PAY INFORMATION? | 5 | THESE, YOU DIDN'T BRING | | |
| 6 | A. YOU WOULD HAVE TO SELECT | 6 | MS. KARETNICK: NO, I | | |
| 7 | CHECKS THAT FELL WITHIN THAT QUARTER, | 7 | DIDN'T. | | |
| 8 | MANUALLY CLICK THE CUMULATIVE BUTTON AND | 8 | (A DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD | | |
| 9 | IT WOULD GIVE YOU THE TOTAL FOR THE | 9 | OCCURRED.) | | |
| 10 | QUARTER. | 10 | MS. KARÉTNICK: DO YOU HAVE | | |
| 11 | Q. COULD YOU IN CHECKVIEW | 11 | A COPY FOR THE WITNESS, I'LL LOOK | | |
| 12 | FORMAT CREATE REPORTS? | 12 | ON. | | |
| 13 | A. NO, JUST VIEW CHECKS. | 13 | MR. ANDERSON: I DIDN'T. | | |
| 14 | Q. THE STATUS TAB THERE, DO YOU | 14 | MS. KARETNICK: CORBETT, DID | | |
| 15 | KNOW WHAT WAS BEHIND THAT TAB? | 15 | YOU BRING A COPY FOR THE WITNESS? | | |
| 16 | A. HIRE DATE, TERM DATE, REHIRE | 16 | MR. ANDERSON: I DIDN'T. | | |
| 17 | DATE. | 17 | OFF THE RECORD FOR A SECOND. | | |
| 18 | Q. DO THE TABS AND FIELDS | 18 | (A DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD | | |
| 19 | DEPICTED IN PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 4 | 19 | OCCURRED AND A BRIEF BREAK WAS | | |
| 20 | CORRESPOND TO THE FIELDS PRODUCED IN | 20 | TAKEN.) | | |
| 21 | EXCEL FORMAT IN LACD 0155.A? | 21 | (PLAINTIFF'S-4A MARKED FOR | | |
| 22 | A. YES. | 22 | IDENTIFICATION.) | | |
| 23 | Q. THERE'S A DIRECT CORRELATION | 23 | BY MR. ANDERSON: | | |
| 24 | DIRECT ONE TO TWO? | 24 | Q. MS. MOFFITT, I WAS ASKING | | |
| | Page 290 | | Page 292 | | |
| 1 | A. YES. | 1 | YOU A QUESTION ABOUT THE TAB THAT SAYS | | |
| 2 | Q. SO ANYTHING IN LACD 0155.A | 2 | POSITION AND IN EXHIBIT, PLAINTIFF'S | | |
| 3 | COULD BE VIEWED IN THE PAYROLL SYSTEM | 3 | EXHIBIT 4A ON PAGE 2 THERE'S A TAB THAT | | |
| 4 | ITSELF USING THE SAME CODES IN THE SAME | 4 | SAYS POSITION. AND THEN I SEARCHED FOR A | | |
| 5 | WORDS, IF I WANTED STATUS FOR MS. ABBOTT | 5 | FOR NOT 4A THEN WHAT HAPPENED I | | |
| 6 | IT WOULD SAY I ASSUME SHE'S | 6 | SEARCHED FOR DOCUMENTS THAT I THOUGHT | | |
| 7 | TERMINATE | 7 | WERE DEPICTING THAT TAB. IF YOU COULD | | |
| 8 | A. YES. | 8 | TURN TO PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT-4A PAGE 2 | | |
| 9 | Q IT WOULD SAY T IN BOTH | 1 | NO IT WAS PERSONAL OH, TAKE A LOOK AT | | |
| 10 | A. YES. | ı | ALL THE PAGES IN 4A AND TELL ME IF A PAGE | | |
| 11 | Q UNDER THE SAME HEADING, | I | DEPICTING POSITION IS THERE? | | |
| 12 | THAT WOULD BE STATUS? | 12 | A. YES. | | |
| 13 | A. YES. IT MAY SAY TERMINATED. | 13 | Q. WHERE IS IT? | | |
| 14 | Q. DOES LACD 0155.A THE TAB | 14 | A. THE TAB POSITION SIDE TAB | | |
| 15 | POSITION, DO YOU KNOW WHAT'S BEHIND THAT? | 15 | JOB, IT'S ACTUALLY PAGE 3. | | |
| 16 | A. THE EMPLOYEE'S POSITION, | 16 | Q. PAGE 3, OKAY. | | |
| | TITLE. | 17 | A. IT SHOWS TITLE. | | |
| 17 | | | Q. AND WHERE WOULD THE | | |
| 17 18 | Q. THAT'S THE SAME AS JOB | 18 | | | |
| | Q. THAT'S THE SAME AS JOB TITLE? | 18 19 | | | |
| 18 | | 19 | INDICATION OF TITLE APPEAR TO THE LEFT | | |
| 18 19 | TITLE? A. YES. | 19 20 | INDICATION OF TITLE APPEAR TO THE LEFT THERE? | | |
| 18 19 20 | TITLE? A. YES. | 19 | INDICATION OF TITLE APPEAR TO THE LEFT | | |
| 18 19 20 21 | TITLE? A. YES. Q. SO YOU CHECK POSITION, WHAT | 19 20 21 | INDICATION OF TITLE APPEAR TO THE LEFT THERE? A. TO THE RIGHT OF THE WORD TITLE. | | |
| 18 19 20 21 22 | TITLE? A. YES. Q. SO YOU CHECK POSITION, WHAT DOES IT SHOW, JUST ONE WORD, WHAT THEIR | 19 20 21 22 | INDICATION OF TITLE APPEAR TO THE LEFT THERE? A. TO THE RIGHT OF THE WORD | | |